

IMPLANT COMPLICATIONS : **A REVIEW**

Sanchit Bansal*, **Umesh Y Pai****,
Shobha Rodrigues⁺.

**Department of Prosthodontics, Manipal
College of Dental
Sciences, MAHE, Mangalore -1**

***- PG Student**

**** - Associate Professor**

+ - Professor & Head.

ABSTRACT

To rehabilitate the edentulous arches or partially edentulous arches, various treatment options have been available for the clinicians. Since the last few years, an implant has been the most acceptable treatment with a success rate of 95-99%. Though the success rate is high but it is inevitable to avoid the complications. Knowledge regarding the types of complications that can occur with dental procedures is an important aspect of treatment planning, dentist patient communication and post treatment care. Various complications may be encountered that includes mechanical, biological, esthetical or prosthetic causes for the failure of an osseo-integrated implants.

INTRODUCTION

Modern dentistry aims to restore the patient to normal function, comfort, aesthetics and health regardless of the

atrophy, disease or injury to the stomatognathic system. Continued researches in treatment planning, implant designs, materials and techniques has enabled clinicians to predict a good outcome for treatment^{1 2}

Rehabilitating completely edentulous and partially edentulous arches using dental implants have been used as treatment modality since long. Though the success rate is 95%, complications are unavoidable^{3, 4}.

As the time elapsed the implant complications increased. Connection related complications increased from 4.3% to 26.4 % in 10 years, loss of retention of restorations have increased to 24.9% from 6.2% in 10 years.⁵

The National Institutes of Health, Consensus Development Conference Statement in 1978 on Dental Implant: Benefits and Risk concluded that, "Thousands of patients have been treated with dental implants for years and there is no question that many received long-term benefits". However, the report further stated that, "some implants, fail in patients within six months; and some have resulted in extensive bone loss and produced irreversible defects and complications⁶.

Lack of primary stability, surgical trauma, and contamination through micro-organisms, occlusal load, improper diagnosis and treatment plan, improperly fabricated prosthesis may cause implant failure⁷.

High Success rate has been reported for dental implants that support crown and fixed bridgework⁸⁻¹³. Complications regarding the implants have also been

reported, if, encountered may lead to the complete failure¹⁴.

IMPLANT PROSTHODONTICS

The selection, planning, development, and placement, replacement of missingteeth and/or associated structures, and maintenance of restoration with dental implants (GPT-9).



Failed implants are those which are associated with progressive bone loss, clinical mobility, peri implant radiolucency, dull sound on percussion and are non-functional as intended¹⁶.

AILING AND FAILING IMPLANT



An implant that may demonstrate bone loss with deeper clinical probing depths but appears to be stable when evaluated at 3-4 months interval¹⁵.

Shows radiographic bone loss without clinical signs of mobility and inflammation¹⁶.

An implant is said to be failed when signs of inflammation, bleeding on probing and suppuration is also seen^{15, 16, and 17}.

IMPLANT FAILURE

It is defined as the total failure of the implant to fulfil its purpose (functional, aesthetic or phonetic) because of mechanical or biological reasons in the first instance at which the implant performance is measured quantitatively and or inadequacy of the host tissue to establish or maintain osseointegration^{18, 19, and 20}.

CLASSIFICATION

1. Rosenberg et al ^{20,21}	2. Espisito et al ^{17,18}	3. Truhlar et al ^{17,21}	4. Cranin ²³
Infectious failure Traumatic failure	Biological Mechanical Iatrogenic Inadequate patient education	Late failures Early failures	Intra-op complications Short term complications (first 6 months post-operatively) Long term complications
5. Heydenrijk et al ²⁴	6. Adell et al ²⁵	7. Goodacre et al ²⁷	8. Balshi ²⁶
Early failures Late failures Soon late failure Delayed late failures	Loss of Osseo integration Gingival complications Mechanical complications	Esthetic Phonetic Functional Ergonomic	Mechanical Biological Aesthetic

FAILED IMPLANT

9. Misch etal²⁸

TREATMENT PLAN RELATED
 Wrong angulation
 Improper implant location
 Too close
 Too far apart
 Lack of communication

PROCEDURE RELATED
 Lack of primary stability
 Mechanical complications
 Mandibular fracture
 Ingestion/aspiration

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Jemt et al²⁹ conducted a study on peri-implantitis surgery prevalence and delayed implant failures in a large number of patients. “Early implant failures,” was significantly associated with the five factors mainly to the “surgeon” (HR 5.13), followed by “absence of prosthetic treatment” (HR 2.71). The risk for an early failure was found to be 7.0% and 0.1% when all the significant factors were present and absent respectively. So the role of dentists is strongly associated with the early failure of the implant.

Maria herrero et al³⁰ studied the success rate of implant retained prosthesis placed by prosthodontics residents. With a mean prosthesis age of 4.5 years, a success rate of 71% in implants and 81% was seen with the implants restored with single crown. Most commonly porcelain fracture in FDP (15%), lack of stability (31%) and retention (29%) in RPD were observed. Thus success rate of implant retained prosthesis was observed to be lower as compared to previous studies.

Flanagan³¹ reported that forces of occlusion may result in implant failure, when acted cyclically and off-axially in range of 50-400N, leading to micro-moment of Osseo-integrated implant. Vasile et al³² reported that peri-implantitis is the most common complication encountered leading to total bone loss around an Osseo-integrated implant. According to Joan Pi-Anfruns³³, 2014, implant complications can be divided into :



Bryce et al³⁴ reported that causes for early failure of implants can be local or general factors which includes various systemic and medical conditions of the patient. Vere j. et al¹⁴, reported the incidence of mechanical complications of implant.

Table 1 Reported incidence of mechanical complications associated with implant retained single crowns and implant retained fixed partial dentures with, or without, cantilever extensions

Complication	Implant single crowns ²	Implant retained fixed partial dentures ⁷	Implant retained fixed partial dentures with cantilevers ⁶
Screw loosening	12.7% (5.7%-27%)	5.8% (3.8%-8.7%)	8.2% (3.9%-17%)
Loss of retention	5.5% (2.2%-13.5%)	Not reported	5.7% (1.0%-16.5%)
Veneer fracture	4.5% (2.4%-8.4%)	13.2% (8.3%-20.6%)	10.3% (3.9%-26.6%)
Framework fracture	3% (1.1%-8.3%)	0.8% (0.4%-1.8%)	0
Screw fracture	0.35% (0.09%-1.4%)	1.5% (0.8%-2.8%)	2.1% (0.9%-5.1%)
Implant fracture	0.14% (0%-0.64%)	0.4% (0.1%-1.2%)	1.3% (0.2%-8.3%)

Vere J. et al¹⁴, Pjetursson et al⁸ and in Berglundh et al¹⁰ reported that in period of 5 years and 10 years, the survival

rates for implant supporting crowns and fixed partial dentures exceeds to 95% and 93% respectively. In 55% of implant cases, the loss of implant occurs before functional loading. Pjetursson et al³⁵ conducted a study on implant supported fixed dental prosthesis. Survival rate increased to 97.2% after 5 years when rough surface implants were used. 95.4% and 80.1% are the rate of implant survival after 5 and 10 years of function. Metal-ceramic implant supported FDPs had survival rate of 96.4% after 5 years and 93.9% after 10 years. Fractures of the veneering material (13.5%), peri-implantitis and soft tissue complications (8.5%), loss of access hole restoration (5.4%), abutment or screw loosening (5.3%), and loss of retention of cemented FDPs (4.7%) were complications observed after 5 years. It was concluded that implant supported FDPs are the good options of treatment though technical and biological complications were frequent (33.6%).

Heasman³⁶ et al and Heitz³⁷ et al concluded that peri-implantitis is similar to periodontal disease. Bashutski^{38, 39}, D'Silva NJ, Wang HL reported that overcompression of the bone during placement leads to unusual failure of the implants. Abt⁴⁰ in 2009 reported that dental implant failure after bone augmentation was on higher side in smokers than in non-smokers. Levin L Schwartz-Araz et al⁴¹ in 2008 studied the difference in success rate of implant in current smokers, non-past smokers and smokers and compared the long term marginal loss, survival and success of single placed implants using radiographs. Concluding that past smokers had more marginal bone loss than non-smokers. W.Chee et al⁴² in 2007 reported proper

patient selection and treatment planning can avoid complications. Claudia Cristina Montes⁴³, in 2007 reported that there is no clinical cause for failure of implant. Men (4.5 %) had more failure rate than women (3.1%). 88.2% of the implants were failed before loading. 58.5 % implants failed in posterior jaw. No reason for the failure of 75% of the implants was reported. Identified causes were 17.5% iatrogenic conditions, poor bone quality and quantity (3%), peri-implantitis (1%), and 3.5% missing data. Results suggested that host factor, not identified clinically, contribute to an increased risk for implant loss. In 2007, Streitzelet al⁴⁴ compared the effect of smoking on the implant with or without bone augmentation procedures. It concluded that biological complications were common amongst smokers. In 2006, Shelemay et al⁴⁵ reported that cause of peri-implant mucositis is same as that of gingivitis and shift of micro-organisms takes place from gram positive to gram negative anaerobes. In 2006, Ardekian et al⁴⁶, reported that sinus membrane perforation is seen where alveolar bone is less than 5mm but overall success remains unaffected. In 2006, Jung et al⁴⁷, reported the risk of maxillary sinus penetration in which the implant penetrated the sinus membrane and the bone at 2, 4 and 8 mm. Pathologic and radiographic changes were not seen in the study of 8 dogs. Hence, concluded that sinus lift procedure is not a contraindication and protrusion of implants into sinus cavity will not lead to sinus complications in canines. Stephelynn DeLuca et al⁴⁸ in 2006 reported at the time of implant surgery, non-smoker (13.33%) had a significant lower implant failure rate than smokers (23.8%), concluding that smoking is not an absolute contraindication.

Park et al⁴⁹ reported the aesthetic complications of the implants may be

visibility of titanium abutment through gingiva, lack of papillae and malposition implant. Kalpidis and Konstantinidis et al⁵⁰, reported a case in which lingual cortical plate was perforated during osteotomy preparation in mandibular premolar region. Critical haemorrhage and multiple hematomas were observed and verified by CT scan. Peter K. Moy⁵¹ et al in 2005 reported that the risk of implant failure is significantly aged (60 – 79 years) than in young patients (40 years). Proussaefs et al⁵², reported that survival and success rate of implants at second stage surgery was 100 % in cases of non-perforated membranes than with the perforated sites 69.6. Tiwani et al⁵³, found that over a period of 10 years retrospective institutional study, 1 case of aspiration and 36 cases of ingestion were reported. Ercoliet al⁵⁴ reported that mechanical complications may arise if bone is continuously drilled or if the drill reaches beyond 15 mm in 5 osteotomies. John C. Keller⁵⁵ reported that osteoporosis affects Osseointegration of implant, but under the forces of mastication long term biomechanical stability is yet unknown. Leonhardt⁵⁶ et al reported that the incidence of peri-implantitis has been increased gradually to 16%. Goodacre⁵⁷ et al reported that incidence of bleeding as a complication is about 24 %. Fistulae have been reported in 1% of cases associated with loose abutment screws or ill-fitting frameworks. Soft tissue hyperplasia affects to 20% of fixed prosthesis over an observation period of 9 years. Goodacre et al^{33,57} reported the incidence of various prosthetic complications in implant therapy.

Overdenture loss of retention (30%)

Resin veneer fracture (22%)

Overdenture relines (19%)

Overdenture clip/attachment fracture (17%)

Porcelain veneer fracture (14%)

Overdenture fracture (12%)

Opposing prosthesis fracture (12%)

Acrylic resin base fracture (7%)

Prosthesis/abutment screw loosening (7%/6%)

Prosthesis screw fracture (4%)

Metal framework fracture (3%)

Abutment screw fractures (2%)

Implant fractures (1%)

Charles J. Goodacre⁵⁷ et al, reported loosening of over denture retentive mechanism, implant loss in irradiated mandible and maxillary overdentures, haemorrhage related complications, resin and veneer fracture, and over denture clip / attachment fracture are the common complication associated with implant supported prosthesis.

Robert L. Simon⁵⁸ concluded that the implant failure rate was 4.6% with complications of abutments screw loosening (7%) and loss of cement bond (22%). Sharawy⁵⁹ et al reported osseous damage may be reduced if implant site is prepared at a speed of 2500 rpm. Niamatu⁶⁰ reported a case of airway obstruction after an implant was placed and was secondary to sublingual hematoma and sublingual bleeding. Quirynen⁶¹ et al reported that an active or inactive retrograde peri-implantitis may result in cases with over prepared or overheated osteotomies observed as periapical radiolucencies radiographically. Bartling⁶² et al checked the incidence of the variation in the sensation using the standard neurological tests in 94 patients. At first post-operative

appointment incidence of 8.5% was found. Complete anaesthesia was seen only in one patient for 2 months and resolved later in 4 months. No permanent altered sensation was found over 6 months.

Hofshneider⁶³ et al and Bavitz⁶⁴ et al reported that sublingual and submental arteries course finally to lingual cortical plate from floor of the mouth. It must be taken into consideration that edentulous mandible is shorter and perforations occur deeper in the floor of the mouth and the sublingual haemorrhage has been iatrogenic in nature.

Charles J. Goodacre⁶⁵ et al concluded greater implant loss occurred with over dentures. Greater loss was observed in maxilla than mandible with fixed complete dentures and over dentures. Mechanical complications were screw loosening / fracture, implant fracture; framework, resin base and veneering material fracture, opposing prostheses fractures and over denture mechanical retention problems.

Esposito⁶⁶ et al told that anatomic conditions and the surgical trauma are two main aetiologies responsible for early implant failure in branemark implant (3.63 %) due to peri-implantitis.

Ann M. Parein⁶⁷ et al evaluated long term outcome, the type and prevalence of prosthetic complications. Significantly fewer complications were found in prostheses supported by one or more implants in premolar than in molar region. Cemented restorations showed fewer complications than screw retained while restoring single tooth.

Robert Hass⁶⁸ et al reported that most common complication observed was abutment screw loosening. William Becker⁶⁹ et al reported bone quality, quantity, length of implant, and minimized

occlusal contacts are the factors contributing for success.

Zarb⁷⁰ et al reported that inadequate availability of superior cortical bone, improper drilling and incorrect use of equipment may lead to failure of Osseo-integration in the first stage surgery. Albrektsson⁷¹ reported mucosal perforations and fistulae were the gingival complications. An occurrence of 3 – 5 % was found for mechanical complications such as fracture of abutment screw, fixture, or prosthesis. Ericsson and Albrektsson⁷² stated, bone resorption was seen when drilling was done at 47°C for 1 minute in rabbits. It concluded that an increase in temperature or duration while drilling may lead to bone necrosis.

CONCLUSION

In the recent times, the dental implant therapy for rehabilitation of the occlusion has been the most accepted and successful treatment if the principles are followed. For the implant treatment to be successful, the stability of the implant should be considered as the main factor. The implant must be stable in the jaw bone after the healing phase is completed.

Awareness and knowledge of various risks involved during the whole procedure, adequate experience of the clinician is needed.

Four essential steps:
proper and careful selection of the patient, correct selection of the implant, proper surgical technique and the precise prosthetic replacement have to be considered strictly and followed to prevent or reduce the number of complications.

REFERENCES

1. Misch CE. Contemporary Implant Dentistry – 3rd Edition, Mosby, South Asia edition, 2008
2. Babita Yeshwante , Sonali Patil, Nazish Baig , Sonal Gaikwad, Dr. Anand Swami , Dr. Mrunal Doiphode , Dental Implants- Classification, Success and Failure –An Overview , (IOSR-JDMS) , May 2015 ; 14 (5) Ver. II : 1-8
3. Jemt T, Lekholm U, Adell R. Osseointegrated implants in the treatment of partially edentulous patients: a preliminary study on 876 consecutively placed fixtures. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1989; 4: 211–217
4. Antolin AB. Infections in implantology: From prophylaxis to treatment. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2007;12:323-330
5. Dental Implant Complications Etiology, Prevention, and Treatment Edited by Stuart J. Froum
6. Dental implants: benefit and risk. NIH Consensus Statement, June 13–14, 1978; 1(3): 13–19.
7. Sakka S, Coulthard P. Implant failure: Etiology and complications. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2011;16(1):e42-44
8. Jung RE, Pjetursson BE, Glauser R, Zembic A, Zwahlen M, Lang NP. A systematic review of the 5- year survival and complication rates of implant- supported single crowns. *Clinical oral implants research*. 2008 Feb 1;19(2):119-30.
9. Goodacre CJ, Kan JY, Rungcharassaeng K. Clinical complications of osseointegrated implants. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 1999 May 31;81(5):537-52.
10. Berglundh T, Persson L, Klinge B. A systematic review of the incidence of biological and technical complications in implant dentistry reported in prospective longitudinal studies of at least 5 years. *J Clin Periodontol* 2002; 29: 197–212
11. Goodacre C J, Bernal G, Rungcharassaeng K, Kan J Y. Clinical complications with implants and implant prostheses. *J Prosthet Dent* 2003; 90: 121–132.
12. Aglietta M, Siciliano V I, Zwahlen M et al. A systematic review of the survival and complication rates of implant supported fixed dental prostheses with cantilever extensions after an observation period of at least 5 years. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2009; 20:441–451.
13. Pjetursson B E, Tan K, Lang N P, Bragger U, Egger M, Zwahlen M. A systematic review of the survival and complication rates of fixed partial dentures (FPDs) after an observation period of at least 5 years. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2004; 15: 625–642.
14. Vere J, Bhakta S, Patel R. Prosthodontic complications associated with implant retained crowns and bridgework: a review of the literature. *British dental journal*. 2012 Mar 24;212(6):267-72
15. Truhlar RS. Perimplantitis – Causes and treatment. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 1998;10:299-308.
16. Askary AS, Meffert RM, Griffin T. Why do dental implants fail? (part II). *Implant Dent* 1999;8:265-77.
17. Prashanti E, Sajjan S, Reddy JM. Failures in implants. *Indian J Dent Res* 2011; 2: 446-453
18. Esposito M, Hirsch JM, Lekholm U, Thomsen P. Biological factors contributing

- to failures of osseointegrated oral implants (I) success Criteria and Epidemiology. *Eur J Oral Sci* 1998;106:527-551.
- 19.ElAskary AS, Meffert RM, Griffin T. Why do dental implants fail? (part I) *Implant Dent* 1999;8:173-85.
- 20.Heydenrijk K, Meijer HJ, Reijden Vander WA, Raghoobar GM, Vissink A, Stegenga B. Microbiota around root form endosseous implants. A review of the literature. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2002;17:829-39
- 21.Truhlar RS. Perimplantitis – Causes and treatment. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 1998;10:299-308.
- 22.Hobo S, Ichida E, Garcia LT. Osseointegration and occlusal rehabilitation. London UK: Quintessence Publishing Company; 1996. p. 239-54.
- 23.Dr.Babita Yeshwante¹,Dr.Sonali Patil²,Dr.Nazish Baig³,Dr.Sonali Gaikwad⁴,Dr.Anand Swami⁵,Dr.Mrunal Doiphode⁶ , Dental Implants-Classification, Success and Failure –An Overview , (IOSR-JDMS) , Volume 14, Issue 5 Ver. II (May. 2015)
- 24.Heydenrijk K, Meijer HJ, Reijden Vander WA, Raghoobar GM, Vissink A, Stegenga B. Microbiota around root form endosseous implants. A review of the literature. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2002;17:829-39.
- 25.Adell R, Lekholm U, Rockler B, Brånemark PI. A 15-year study of osseointegrated implants in the treatment of the edentulous jaw. *Int J Oral Surg* 1981; 10:387-416.
- 26.Balshi TJ. Preventing and resolving complications with osseointegrated implants. *Dent Clin North Am* 1989;33:821-868
- 27.Goodacre CJ, Bernal G, Rungcharassaeng K, KanJY. Clinical complications with implants and implant prostheses. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2003 Aug; 90(2):121-32.
- 28.Kellymisch , *Implant Surgery Complications: Etiology and Treatment , implant dentistry / volume 17, number 2 2008*
- 29.Jemtetal , A retro-prospective effectiveness study on 3448 implant operations at one referral clinic: A multifactorial analysis. Part I: Clinical factors associated to early implant failures , *clinical implant dentistry* , September 2017; 19(6):980-988
- 30.Loza-Herrero MA, Rivas-Tumanyan S, Morou-Bermudez E. Success and complications of implant-retained prostheses provided by the Post-Doctoral Prosthodontics Program, University of Puerto Rico: a cross-sectional study. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry.* 2015 Nov 30;114(5):637-43.
- 31.Flanagan D. Diet and implant complications. *Journal of Oral Implantology.* 2016 Jun;42(3):305-10.
- 32.Vasile A .clinical study on the incidence and management of biological complications in implant therapy. *International Journal of Medical Dentistry.* 2015 Jul 1;5(3).
- 33.Pi-Anfruns J. Complications in implant dentistry. *Alpha Omegan.* 2014 Mar 1;107(1).
- 34.G. Bryce, Pre- and post-operative management of dental implant placement. Part 2: management of early-presenting complications , *British Dental Journal* volume 217 no. 4 aug 22 2014
- 35.Pjetursson BE, Thoma D, Jung R, Zwahlen M, Zembic A. A systematic

review of the survival and complication rates of implant- supported fixed dental prostheses (FDPs) after a mean observation period of at least 5 years. *Clinical oral implants research*. 2012 Oct 1;23(s6):22-38.

36.Heasman P, Esmail Z, Barclay C. Peri-implant diseases. *Dental Update* 2010; 37: 511–512, 514-516

37.Heitz-Mayfield L J. Peri-implant diseases: diagnosis and risk indicators. *J ClinPeriodontol* 2008; 35:292–304

38.Bashutski JD, D’Silva NJ, Wang HL: Implant compression current understanding and a case report. *J Periodontol* 2009 Apr; 80(4):700-4

39.Khatri et al review article failures in dental implantology - a literature review , *journal of applied dental and medical sciences* 2015 apr-jun;1(1):55-62

40.Abt.E. Smoking increases dental implant failures and complications. *Evid Based Dent* 2009 ; 10(3):79-80.

41.Levin L,Hertzberg R,HarNes S,Schwartz-Arad D. Long-term marginal bone loss around single dental implants affected by current and past smoking habits. *Implant Dent* 2008 Dec; 17(4): 422-9

42.W. Chee and S. Jivraj Failures in implant denstisty.Br Dent J 2007; 202:123-129.

43.Claudia Cristina Montes, FabianoAlvim Pereira - Failing factors associated with osseo-integrated dental implant loss. *Implant dentistry* 2007 :16 (4) ; 404-412

44.Strietzel FP, Reichart PA, Kale A, Kulkarni M, Wegner B, Kuchler I. Smoking interferes with the prognosis of dental implant treatment: a systematic review and meta- analysis. *Journal of*

clinical periodontology. 2007 Jun 1;34(6):523-44.

45.AviShelemay , Recall, Maintenance and Complications in Implant Patients , June 2006 • Ontario Dentist

46.Ardekian L, Oved-Peleg E, Peled M, et al. The clinical significance of sinus membrane perforation during augmentation of the maxillary sinus. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2006;64:277-282

47.Jung JH, Choi BH, Li J, et al. The effects of exposing dental implants to the maxillary sinus cavity on sinus complications. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral RadiolEndod*. 2006;102:602-605

48.Stephelyn DeLuca et al. The Effect of smoking on osseointegration dental implant. Part – 1: Implant survival .*Int J Prosthodont* 2006; 19:491-498

49.Park S-H, Wang H-L. Implant reversible complications: Classification and treatments. *Implant Dent*. 2005;14:211-220

50.Kalpidis CD, Konstantinidis AB. Critical hemorrhage in the floor of the mouth during implant placement in the first mandibular premolar position: A case report *Implant Dent*. 2005;14:117-124.

51. Moy PK, Medina D, Shetty V, Aghaloo TL. Dental implant failure rates and associated risk factors. *International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants*. 2005 Jul 1;20(4).

52.Proussaefs P, Lozada J, Kim J, Rohrer MD. Repair of the perforated sinus membrane with a resorbable collagen membrane: a human study. *International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants*. 2004 May 1;19(3).

53.TiwanaKK, Morton T, Tiwana PS. Aspiration and ingestion in dental practice:

a 10-year institutional review. The Journal of the American Dental Association. 2004 Sep 30;135(9):1287-91.

54.Ercoli C, Funkenbusch PD, Lee HJ, Moss ME, Graser GN. The influence of drill wear on cutting efficiency and heat production during osteotomy preparation for dental implants: a study of drill durability. International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants. 2004 May 1;19(3).

55.Keller JC, Stewart M, Roehm M, Schneider GB. Osteoporosis-like bone conditions affect osseointegration of implants. International Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants. 2004 Sep 1;19(5).

56.Leonhardt Å, Dahlén G, Renvert S. Five-year clinical, microbiological, and radiological outcome following treatment of peri-implantitis in man. Journal of periodontology. 2003 Oct 1;74(10):1415-22.

57.Goodacre CJ, Bernal G, Rungcharassaeng K, Kan JY. Clinical complications with implants and implant prostheses. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry. 2003 Aug 31;90(2):121-32.

58.Simon RL. Single implant-supported molar and premolar crowns: a ten-year retrospective clinical report. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry. 2003 Dec 31;90(6):517-21.

59.Sharawy M, Misch CE, Weller N, Tehemar S. Heat generation during implant drilling: the significance of motor speed. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2002 Oct 31;60(10):1160-9.

60.Niamtu J. Near-fatal airway obstruction after routine implant placement. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology. 2001 Dec 31;92(6):597-600.

61.Quirynen M, Gijbels F, Jacobs R. An infected jawbone site compromising successful osseointegration. Periodontology 2000. 2003 Oct 1;33(1):129-44.

62.Ellies LG. The incidence of altered sensation of the mental nerve after mandibular implant placement. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 1999 Dec 1;57(12):1410-2.

63.Hofschneider U, Tepper G, Gahleitner A, Ulm C. Assessment of the blood supply to the mental region for reduction of bleeding complications during implant surgery in the inter-foraminal region. International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Implants. 1999 May 1;14(3):379-83.

64.Bavitz JB, Harn SD, Homze EJ. Arterial supply to the floor of the mouth and lingual gingiva. Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology. 1994 Mar 1;77(3):232-5.

65.Goodacre CJ, Kan JY, Rungcharassaeng K. Clinical complications of osseointegrated implants. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry. 1999 May 31;81(5):537-52.

66.Esposito M, Hirsch JM, Lekholm U, Thomsen P. Biological factors contributing to failures of osseointegrated oral implants,(II). Etiopathogenesis. European journal of oral sciences. 1998 Jun 1;106(3):721-64.

67.Parein AM, Eckert SE, Wollan PC, Keller EE. Implant reconstruction in the posterior mandible: a long-term retrospective study. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry. 1997 Jul 31;78(1):34-42.

68.Robert Hass. Branemark single tooth implants: A preliminary report of 76 implants. J. Prosthet Dent 1995; 73:274-9

69. Becker W, Becker BE. Replacement of maxillary and mandibular molars with single endosseous implant restorations: a retrospective study. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry. 1995 Jul 31;74(1):51-5.

70. Zarb GA, Schmitt A. The longitudinal clinical effectiveness of osseo-integrated dental implants: The Toronto study. Part I: Surgical results. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry. 1990 Apr 1;63(4):451-7.

71. Albrektsson T. A multi-center report on osseo-integrated oral implants. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry. 1988 Jul 1;60(1):75-84.

72. Eriksson AR, Albrektsson T. Temperature threshold levels for heat-induced bone tissue injury: a vital-microscopic study in the rabbit. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry. 1983 Jul 1;50(1):101-7.

How to cite: Bansal S, Pai U. Y, Shobha Rodrigues implant complications: A Review Annals of Clinical Prosthodontics, Vol.2, Issue 1, Pg.